KEMPTON PROJECT MEETING

AUGUST 25, 2016

No attendance taken

Footnotes Additions--SDC:

Categorizing footnotes can be tricky.

RDO--List of footnote types orig. came from LeBoys de G…?

4 “interesting stuff that shd be printed in most cases” grab bag

i--int. stuff that SHDN’t be printed in most cases

e--explanation fm Writings

SDC

He has done notes that say

Wants to standardize how reference is introduced (Latin *sic*)

“Thus [AC 123], but \_\_\_\_\_ in [AE 123]”

“as in \_\_\_\_\_”

“So in \_\_\_\_\_”

“thus \_\_\_\_\_”

“thus in \_\_\_\_\_”

What kind of footnote is this? currently \*2, \*4, and \*e.

SDC votes for \*e--seems to make most sense

“as in…” with a contrasting choice

What about when it is not contrasting?

“See”?

What about the English? Avoid “See”? Shd they all be “as in”?

“See” needs more explanation. If just to see where Wtgs translate it this way, “as in” is fine.

4, 8, 9, L, e, g, are only footnotes set to print.

Pointy brackets for quotation marks

Tallies of comments

Good news:

Bad news: We are multiplying “urgent”s faster than we are getting them archived